

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,824	05/03/2005	Maxime Allard	PAT-01122	4283
26922 BASF CORPO	7590 07/17/200 RATION	7	EXAMINER	
Patent Department			MCCLENDON, SANZA L	
1609 BIDDLE AVENUE MAIN BUILDING			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	YANDOTTE, MI 48192		1711	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			07/17/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LORI.HASS@BASF.COM MARJORIE. ELLIS @BASF. COM CDavenport@CantorColburn.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
,	10/533,824	ALLARD ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sanza L. McClendon	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versiller to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accertion and policion may not request that any objection to the orection and policion to the orection and policion to the orection and policion and policion and policion are declaration is objected to by the Examine are placement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	and and an analysis of the	7,00011 01 10/1111 1 70-102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents are compared to the certified copies of the priority documents are compared to the certified copies of the priority documents are compared to the certified copies of the priority documents are compared to the certified copies of the priority documents are compared to the certified copies of the priority documents are compared to the certified copies of the priority documents are compared to the certified copies of the priority documents are compared to the certified copies of the priority documents are compared to the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents are compared to the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents are compared to the certified copies of the c	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/3/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/533,824 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is unclear if component (B) is a reaction product of an acidic ester of polyphophoric acid and (b1) at least one compound containing a least one hydroxyl groups and at least one groups that can be activated with actinic radiation or if component (B) comprises two components, i.e., the acidic polyphosphoric acid and (b1). Clarification is requested.

In claim 1, it is unclear if component (C) is a reaction product of an acidic ester of monophophoric acid and (c1) at least one compound containing a least one hydroxyl groups and at least one groups that can be activated with actinic radiation or if component (C) comprises two components, i.e., the acidic polyphosphoric acid and (c1). Clarification is requested.

In claim 1, it is unclear if component (A) is at least one compound selected from a low molecular weight actinic activated oligomer, a low molecular weight actinic activated polymer, an air-drying alkyd resin, or an oxidatively drying alkyd resin. Or if (A) is selected from a low molecular weight actinic activated oligomer with air-drying and oxidatively drying alkyl resin or a low molecular weight actinic activated polymer with air-drying and oxidatively drying alkyl resin.

Additionally, it is unclear is the air drying resin and oxidatively drying resin is one compound, i.e. one compound having air-drying functionality and oxidatively drying functionality in the same compound, or are they two separate compounds, i.e., one air-drying and one oxidatively drying.

Conclusion\

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. JP 08-060048 to Tanaka et al sets forth a ink coating composition comprising a monophosphoric ester that is the reaction product of a hydroxyalkyl (meth) acrylate compound and phosphoric acid compound, a polyphosphoric ester that is the reaction product of a hydroxyalkyl (meth) acrylate compound and polyphosphoric acid compound, a pigment, a resin component that can be chosen from resins such as an long oil alkyd resin, an acrylic resin, or a polyurethane resin, and an curing agent for said resin, such as a photoinitiator or thermal catalyst. Additionally said coating can comprise fillers and adhesion-promoting

Art Unit: 1711

agents for said pigments and/or fillers. It is additionally disclosed that water can be used as a solvent. When this is chosen it is believed a water-in-oil emulsion will form since the resin component would be considered the oil phase. The reference is silent with regard to the pH. Tanaka et al sets forth milling of the pigment and the phosphoric acid ester compounds and then adding then the to the coating vehicle. It is disclosed that said phosphoric esters help with the pigment dispersibility in the coating composition.

US 5,151,125 to Kuwajima et al sets forth an aqueous coating composition comprising an acrylic resin having phosphate groups and a pigment. Said coating can additionally comprise film-forming resins, such as alkyd resins and polyurethane resins and curing agents, as well as conventional additives. Kuwajima et al is silent with regard to the pH.

Please note that these references may be combined for a rejection once applicant clarifies the 112, 2nd paragraph issues above if deemed readable on the claimed subject matter.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanza L. McClendon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1074. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner

Art Unit 1711